

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,628	07/13/2001		Kenichi Fujii	1232-4739	3392
27123	7590	04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
		GAN, L.L.P.	NGUYEN, SIMON		
345 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10154				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2685	
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/904,628	FUJII, KENICHI					
Autiony Aution	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SIMON D NGUYEN	2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE To Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) \( \square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • •	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .	•						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 5-27.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>'0</u> .					
10. Other:							
Sines Mannes							

Mori teaches that when a mobile terminal moves over areas, the exchanger 5 performs position registration processing in cooperation with the mobile station through a base station covering an area to which the mobile station has moved in whereby the location information corresponding to the mobile station concerned is renewed and when there is a call-incoming to the mobile station, the exchanger reads out the location information on the mobile station from the location database (column 7 lines 38-50, column 8 lines 62-67) and wherein the base station in the area supplies a service of prohibiting the call-incoming to the mobile station to inform the mobile station that the area is prohibited to receive and transmit signals (column 8 lines 4-14) which means that when the mobile station moves to a new area, the mobile station-registers with that location and the location information via the base station informs the mobile station that the area is prohibited for receiving and transmits signals. Since the location information corresponds to the mobile station's area when the exchanger performing position registration for the mobile station, a call prohibition applied on the mobile station is considered in the registraton mode and since the mobile station receives a notification for restricting the phone call, the mobile station inherently stops transmitting a signal by itself. It should be noted that in the call restriction, if the mobile station wants to transmit a signal, the base station will not allow such thing, therefore, the mobile station has not choice but stopping the transmission of the signal.